

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3138
Administrative Law Judge Division
September 2, 2004

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3138. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

“‘Adjudicatory’ proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

“‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). ‘Ratesetting’ proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

“‘Quasi-legislative’ proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.” (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960’s defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

ALJ/hl2

Next Steps

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on September 2, 2004, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ STEVE LARSON

STEVE LARSON
Executive Director

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
CARL W. WOOD
LORETTA M. LYNCH
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
SUSAN P. KENNEDY
Commissioners

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3138 (9/2/04)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A04-08-017 CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY, for an order authorizing replacement of the operations center and customer center in the Chico District and treatment of their net sale proceeds as subject to Public Utilities Code Section 790.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A04-08-018 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY, to Establish Regulatory Authority over the Access for Natural Gas Provided by California Gas Producers.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
A04-08-019 CITY OF CERRITOS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E), for authority to implement AB80 pursuant to agreement.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-024 CENTRACOM, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-025 KIESLING SERVICES, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-027 STATE COASTAL CONSERVANCY, for an order authorizing a pedestrian-bikeway trail crossing at grade of the Sonoma Baylands Trail, near Port Sonoma, Sonoma County, and the track of the Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) Commission, Milepost 5H-29.57, Sonoma Branch Mainline.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-028 CUSA PCSTC, LLC, for authority to operate as a passenger stage corporation providing fixed-route, scheduled service between points in Orange County, on the one hand, and the Los Angeles International Airport and the Santa Ana (John Wayne) Airport, on the other hand; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-029 TRACY AIRPORT SHUTTLE SERVICE, for authority to operate as a passenger stage operation between points in the Counties of Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo, and the San Jose, San Francisco and Oakland International Airports.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3138 (9/2/04)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A04-08-030 RHODA GEE, dba KIDS CABBIE, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to operate as a Passenger Stage Corporation between various points in Union City, California, and Newark, California, and Fremont, California; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-031 GLOBAL INTERNETWORKING, INC., for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide Limited Facilities-based and resold Competitive Local Exchange.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-032 SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT, for authorization to construct, maintain and operate a light rail passenger system under U.S. Highway 50 Natoma Overhead 24-0120 at Folsom Boulevard in the County of Sacramento, City of Folsom, State of California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-036 CITY OF VICTORVILLE, for authorization for the construction of a proposed grade separation of National Trails Highway (Historic Route 66) over the proposed Southern California Logistics Rail Authority crossing in the City of Victorville.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-037 LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, for an Order authorizing the construction of three, two-track at-grade crossings for the Eastside Corridor Light Rail Transit Line across Gage Avenue, across the SR-60 Freeway On and Off Ramp traffic and across Downey Road in the County of Los Angeles.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-039 ANDIAMO TELECOM, L.L.C., for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a provider of facilities-based and resold local exchange service.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A04-08-040 NRG ENERGY CENTER SAN FRANCISCO LLC, for authority to create a rate schedule for partial load requirement and standby service.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO